Previous references.
P.A 28 of 1901-02

190

(Salaries and Allowances)

File No. 10/3 of 1903-04
Serial Nos. 1–4

Later references.
Filed collection of this file and Sls 5–6 B of this file.

(18)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1–4

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Condonation of the break in the Service of B. Venkata Rao, late Taluk Maistry P.W.

Make headline

Condonation of the break in the service of and enhancement of pension to B.Venkata Rao, late Taluk Maistry, P.W.

I. (P&A 290) 4634 / 24-8-1903 From The Chief Engineer.

In his petitions dated 27th February 1901 and 3rd February 1902 received from B.Venkata Rao, late Taluk Maistry P.W.Department and recommends that the break of three months may be condoned and the service rendered under " Temporary Works Establishment " counted so that he may be entitled to an enhanced pension.

Mysore Govt Gl and Rev Secretariat.

~~Office of the Dewan of Mysore.~~

File No. (if any)

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch P & A

Subject Condonation of a break in the Service of B. Venkat Rao late Taluk Maisty P. W. Dept.

Letter No. 5459 d. 10th Oct. 1903 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore

B. Venkat Rao entered Service as Maramut gumasta of the Hosakota Taluk in January 1867 and was dismissed the Service in May 1880. After a break of nearly three months, he was taken into the Service as Maisty in August 1880 on the temporary estbt. He continued on the temporary estbt till March 1881 when he was brought on the permanent establishment On this permanent establishment, he ~~continue~~ continued till July 1883 when he was transferred to the Temporary

temporary Work establishment. On this
he continued till 23rd Octr 1888
when he was appointed temporary
Zalik Naiety and was brought
on the permanent estbt. on the
9th August 1889. Since then, he
served on the permanent estbt
till 28th Febry 1901 when he
was retired from service. The
circs under which the usual
change, as noted above in the service, took
place are fully explained
in the DPW office note marked A.
Thus prior to dismissal, B.
Venkat Rao was on the permanent
establishment for a period of
13 years. Subsequent to his dismissal
he was,—

	y – m – d
without employ for	0 – 3 – 0
on temporary estbt for	0 – 7 – 0
on permanent estbt for	2 – 4 – 0
on temporary Work estbt for	5 – 3 – 0
on temporary estbt for	0 – 9 – 0
on permanent and estbt for	11 – 6 – 0 .

He, having been
dismissed the service in May 1888
forfeited his past service under
art:

art: 270 of the Mysore Service Regulations and he having been employed on the temporary estbt till 9th August 1869, the service up to that date was not taken into account ~~etc~~ × under the provisions of art: 236 of the M. S. Regulations. Only the service rendered on the permanent estbt was taken into account and he was granted a pension of Rs 3-5-2 per mensem. B. Venkat Rao, thereupon addressed a petition to the Chief Engineer praying that the break of nearly three months in his service, above referred to might be condoned and that an enhanced pension calculated on his entire service both temporary and permanent be granted to him. The Chief Engineer in the first instance informed the petitioner that he could not recommend his case to Govt for orders. Subsequently on a reconsideration, the Chief Engineer sent the

× Flag B

× Flag-6.

Pl Reg D

the case to Govt and recommended that the petitioner's prayer might be granted. in consideration of his long service. On reply he was requested to forward the entire file of papers connected with the dismissal of the petitioner in 1870, which caused the break and also to report whether the order of dismissal was subsequently reversed when he was brought on the permanent estbt in 1879. The Chief Engineer now forwards the entire file and reports that the dismissal order was not reversed and that it would appear to have been lost sight of when he was ordered to be brought on the permanent estbt.

The prayer involves exemption from the operation of art: 270 & 236 and Concession under art: 275. of the M. S. Regns. The circs that led to the dismissal are explained Subl Inordero. in the office note marked A.

DMB
27/10/03

× Hampton.

The Petitioner's total Service extends over a period of nearly 34 years with a break of only 3 months &

Service in the temporary Sub for 6 years & 7 months. In consideration of this long service, the Chief Engineer ⊗ recommends that he may be exempted from the operation of Articles 270 & 236 of the Mysore Service Regulations & granted an enhanced pension. If this recommendation is sanctioned, the Petitioner would be entitled to a pension of Rs 18/15/4 per mensem instead of Rs 3-5-2 as sanctioned in Pro: No 9446-47 Pda. 720 × dated 17th December 1902. Under clause ii of Article 275 of the Mysore Service Regulations the Govt may condone interruptions in Service, whatever their duration if the proposed pension does not exceed 50 Rs a month. In this case the maximum amount of pension claimed is only Rs 13/15/4 per mensem. The Concession asked for is a large one. Submitted for orders.

⊗ Flag D.

× Flag B

The Dewan through the 2nd Councillor

H B 29/10/03

31/10/03

6

This is an old Lancashire
fact. He was in Lancs
for such a surprisingly
a. 34 yrs with only a
break of 3 months. This
break may be considered
the temporary absence
may, as a special case
be taken into account.
The third question is one-
- mixed which may be
answered and an unbiased
person who answered
yes to the 5th, the conception
asked for is a large one
but - it does not seem
quite answerable.

Yours sincerely

4/11/03

13843
6.11.03

P5248

Yours [illegible]

6.XI.03

9/11/03

10/11/03.

S&A 1043 PHA-1903-34
PHA-290
Serial 1903

FROM W. Mc. Hutchin Esqr. M.I.C.E.
Chief Engineer of Mysore

TO M. V. Sanjundayya Esqr. M.A.L.
Secretary to the Govt: of Mysore
General & Revenue Department.

Dated Bangalore 24th August 1903.

Despatched

Received

General No. 4634
No.

Enclosure No. 4

Forwarding for favorable consideration enclosed petition received from late Taluk Maistry B. Venkat Row, praying that a break of 3 months in his service may be condoned under Art: 275 of the Mysore Service Regulations.

J. A
25.8.03

Opted DMZ
7/9/03

Mysore, P.W. Department

No. 4634 Bangalore, dated 24th. August 1903.

From,

W. Mc Hutchin Esquire, M.I.C.E,

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To,

P.V. Nanjundayya Esquire, B.A, B.L,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 9446-7/1 & A 7 6 dated 17th. December 1901, sanctioning the payment of a superannuation pension of Rs. 3-5-2 to D. Venkat Rao, late Taluk Naistry, I have the honour to forward the enclosed petitions dated 27th. February 1901 & 3rd. February 1902 received from him praying that the break in his service may be condoned under Article 225 of the Mysore Service Regulations. A copy of this office note giving a brief history of Venkat Row's case is also enclosed for information. Venkat Row had served in this department for a total period of 33 years and 5 months, of which 26 years and 10 months were under " Permanent Establishment," 9 months under " Temporary Establishment" and 5 years and 10 months under " Temporary work Establishment." There was a break in service of 3 months subsequent to his dismissal in May 1880, as will be seen from the office Note referred to above. In consideration of the long service the man has put in, I would request that you will be so good as to obtain the sanction of Government to the break of 3 months being condoned and the service under " Temporary works Establishment" which does not count towards pension being counted, so that the late Taluk Naistry may be entitled to an enhanced pension.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. Hutchin Captain, R.E.

For Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To

COLONEL D. Mc NEIL CAMPBELL, R.E.,

Chief Engineer and Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

Sir,

Having been permitted to retire from service from 1st March 1901,on which date my privilege leave expires,I beg to submit this my application for pension. I further beg that you may be graciously pleased,under Article 275 of the Mysore Service Regulations,to condone the break in my service,which occurred under the following circumstances :-

2. I first entered Government Service as Marhamatt Mutseddy of the Hoskote Taluk in January 1867,and was promoted to the post of Taluk Maistry and posted to the Anekal Taluk in September 1872. In January 1880,I was transferred to Magadi and a short time thereafter to Kankanahalli Taluk. The last change was an unfortunate one and soon brought me to grief,as my services were placed under one Overseer Domingo who had in earliar days cherished strong ill feeling towards me. When in certain cases he was taken up for allowing large sums of Departmental money to lapse to Government,Mr.Domingo,with a view to exculpate himself from blame,made a series of false reports against me to the then Executive Engineer Mr. Bailey,and succeeded in getting me removed from service in May 1880. These charges were quite evasive,unfounded and unsubstantial as admitted by the Executive Engineer himself later on in his report and recommendation "to give me another trial". On my appealing to the Chief Engineer, Mr. Mc Laughlin,the Assistant Secretary (afterwards Executive Engineer of Shimoga) sent me to Mr.Bailey with a D.O. and on my bringing back that officer's reply,I was at once appointed Maistry on the Shimoga-Agumbe road in August 1880,and 6 months after

confirmed in a permanent appointment at Sagar. The injury worked by Mr. Domingo was however too deep to allow me to remain undisturbed in my new position. For Major Kensington, the Chief Engineer would not so soon approve of my appointment as a permanent official and directed my retention again on the Temporary establishment. The efficiency of my work in this capacity however, attracted the notice and enlisted the sympathy of successive Executive Engineers and on their strong and repeated recommendations, the same Chief Engineer Major Kensington on 7th August 1889 ordered my name being brought on the permanent establishment.

3. From the foregoing, I beg to submit, that there can be no doubt whatever that my re-instatement by the Chief Engineer himself was the result both of the just and careful enquiry made into my case and of the entire satisfaction I gave to one and all of my superior officers in the discharge of my duties. That I had been punished unjustly on evasive and frivolous charges and that I was answerable to no blame whatsoever, is further manifest from the following extract of a memo dated 30th December 1883, submitted to the P.W. Secretary by Mr. Mc Laughlin from Shimoga :-

" The appointment of Venkata Rao was made previous to my
" departure for Shimoga about 7th June 1880, Mr.Bailey's report
" on the subject was written 3 or 4 weeks later. At that time
" there were no records to refer to. Mr.Bailey, the officer who
" had dismissed the Maistry, was referred to and he stated that
" the Maistry might be given another trial; nothing had been
" proved against the Maistry beyond his having been constantly
" complained of by overseer Domingo.

" No records or copies of reports on the case were sent to
" the Shimoga Division, nor was any circular issued prohibiting
" the man's re-appointment.

" The Maistry has worked well, for 3½ years since his re-in
" statement, and has given satisfaction to his immediate superiors

" and to their Executive Engineers,and the last of those
" three officers wishes very much to retain the Maistry.

" The facts are the most satisfactory refutation pos-
" sible of the charges of overseer Domingo and those of a
" Revenue Department subordinate,and these charges are all
" that I can find against the man in the records worthy of
" consideration.

" Under these circumstances I am sorry that Venkata Rao
" should be dismissed on these charges which have not been
" proved,and for faults which,if ever committed,have been
condoned and fully atoned for.

" But,as stated before,I have written to remove the mis-
" apprehension that the Maistry was appointed by Mr. Inman
" and without enquiry into his previous history."

@ @ @ @ @ @

4. Under these circumstances,and having regard to the fact that I was without actual employment only for a period of three months in 1880(out of a hard-service period of over 30 years),I again most respectfully beg that the break in my service may be condoned andthat a pension calculated on my entire service,both temporary and permanent,conferred upon me as a special mark of approved faithful service,rendered by me and testified to by the certificates and endorsements in my Service Registers now in the Secretariat.

For this act of kindness to me in my old age,I shall ever remain highly thankful.

I beg to remain

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Bangalore,27th February 1901.

Taluk Maistry,No.2 Sub Divn.Bangalore

·984
·28/3/67·
1366
·24/4/67·

To,

COLONEL D. Mc NEIL CAMPBELL, R.E.,

Chief Engineer & Secretary to Government- P.W.D.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement No.2265 dated 23rd April 1901, expressing regret not to see your way to recommend to Government my prayer for condonation of the break in my service, I most respectfully beg once again to approach you with this my humble Memorial for a re-consi - deration of my case.

2. I have already in my previous petition very fully reiterated the " facts that are the most satisfactory refutation possible of the charges" that led to my discomfiture in May 1880, and I would therefore only trouble you now with the following few circumstances under which I crave your mercy :-

(i) It is true that one of the former Chief Engineers would not <u>at first</u> re-instate me in the permanent establishment, but I beg to submit for your information, that my prayer on being repeated on two subsequent occasions - very strongly supported by one & all of my superior officers - did at last meet with favorable consideration, and, as a result, I was most graciously brought on the <u>permanent establishment again</u> by order of the Chief Engineer No. 3133 dated 9th August 1889, copy of which I beg to enclose for ready reference.

and (ii) That there have been many instances, quite parallel to mine, in which you have generously condoned breaks and granted pensions, and I earnestly solicit that these precedents and the provisions of the following Article of the Civil Service Regula-

tions may be taken into account in favorably considering my case :-

Article 275(ii).- If the proposed pension does not exceed Rs. 50 a month, the Government may condone interruptions in service, whatever their duration".

3. I am a poor man, depending for the remaining few years of my life, entirely on the generosity of Government, and have besides committed no serious fault, such as deserve the extreme penalty of my being denied the pension which I have earned after 34 years of hard work. The authorities have now not only excluded all my previous services, but have also made a heavy deduction, from my recent services of 12 years & 4 months, of one-fortieths for every year by which the age on my re-instatement exceeded 30 years, as if I actually commenced service then. This practically gives me the benefit of a qualifying service of only about 6 or 7 years out of a total period of 34 years.

4. Under these circumstances, I most respectfully appeal to your generosity to favorably view my case and confer on me the usual half-pension, taking into account my services from January 1867(on which date I first entered Government service as Marahamatt Mutseddy) up to the date of my retirement, condoning the small break of only 2½ months, during which I was without actual employment pending the disposal of my representations for re-instatement.

Most hopefully relying on your mercy,

I beg to remain,

sir,

Your most obedient servant,

130 - Chamarajpet,
Bangalore, 3rd Feb. 1902.

Retired Taluk Maistry, Bangalore Division.

To,

The Executive Engineer of Shimoga.

No.3133 dated 9th August 1889

Executive Engineer's No.285 of the 18th June 1889, reporting on the qualifications of temporary Taluk Maistry B. Venkata Rao, and recommending that he be confirmed in his appointment.

Sanctions Taluk Maistry Venkata Rao being brought on the permanent establishment subject to his producing the usual physical fitness certificate.

Sd/ W.Mc Hutchin,
Chief Engineer.

SECRETARY'S OFFICE
Reg'd No. 139
- 6 FEB 1902
Mysore P. W. Dept.

The Executive Engineer of Shimoga.

No.3135 dated 7th Aug

Executive Engineer's No.285 of the 18th June

porting on the qualifications of temporar

Mallary B. Venkata Rao, and recommendi

be confirmed in his appointment.

Sanctions Tank Mistry Venkata Ra

brought on the permanent establishment sub

producing the usual physical fitness cert

Sd/ W.C. Wate

Chief Eng

31

Office Note on Taluk Maistry B.Venkat Rao.

In June 1880 Venkat Rao petitioned the Assistant Superintend-ing Engineer (then Major Bowen) setting forth the following circumstances.

He was entertained at first as Marahmat Mutsaddi of Hoskote Taluk in January 1867 promoted to Taluk Maistry and posted to Anekal Taluk in September 1872, and then to Kankanhalli Taluk in January 1880 . He was dismissed the service in May 1880, on some charges brought against him by overseer Domingo namely, that there was no outlay on works in April 1880, there was lapse in the grant and that he had been absent without leave. He submitted an explanation stating that the reports made against him were malicious, and false, and begged that he might be reinstated in his former appointment as Taluk Maistry, as he had rendered 13 years satisfactory service.

On the above being forwarded to Mr.Bayly , Executive Engineer Bangalore Division for report, he submitted that in November 1879, Mr.Rickets, Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District , under whom Venkat Rao had served previous to his transfer to the P.W.D, had intended to dismiss the man for bad work done and for personal interest he had in contractors, but thatthe matter was lost sight of owing to his transfer to P.W.D. Subsequently written and verbal complaints that Venkat Rao did not obey orders or get work done, that he was constantly absent and inefficient &c, were made by Mr. Domingo to the Executive Engineer and therefore the Taluk Maistry was dismissed Vide report dated 26-6-80. In this office No.3330 dated 30th June 1880 Venkat Rao was informed by ~~Captain~~ Col: Powen then Assistant Superintending Engineer that he considered that Mr.Bayly had good grounds for dismissing him, and he therefore declines to interfere .

Venkat Rao was again appointed maistry on Shimoga Agumbai Road in August 1880 and made permanent Taluk Maistry in March 1881. The physical fitness certificate produced by the Taluk Maistry at the time , show that he was 37 years of age , i e above the limit of age fixed in the Civil Pension Code for admission

to permanent establishment.It was therefore ordered that his services should be retained only in the Temporary Establishment Vide No.1762 dated 6-7-83.On receipt of the above order ,Venkat Rao represented that he had put in 13 years service prior to dismissal in May 1880,and on a careful enquiry into his case Mr.Mc Laughlin had favoured him with a appointment in August 1880,seeing that there are not been proper grounds for dismissal, and prayed that he might be kept on the permanent establishment, condoning the break of 2 months and a half in his service.

The above representation of the Taluk Maistry was forwarded to Mr.Bayly then Executive Engineer of the Mysore Division,with a request that he would give his opinion as to whether there was any reason to warn the man's dismissal in May 1880 being cancel-led,and the break of service from 29th May 1880 to 19th March 1881 condoned.Vide No.3385 of 14-11-83.

Mr.Bayly reported that he did not think that the maistry's dismissal ought to be cancelled." But for the difficulty of getting Maistries in Shimiga I would have asked Mr.Mc Laughlin not to take him on &c."

On this,the Secretary (Major Kensington) ordered that the man services should be dispensed with,also remarked that the man would seem to have been reappointed without a knowledge of his previous dismissal from the Bangalore Division.Vide No.3554 -5 dated 27-11-83.Thereupon Mr.Mc Laughlin put up the following note mainly to remove the misapprehension that the man had been appoint-ed without a knowledge of his antecedents.It is stated therein that Venkat Rao had represented to him im 1880 at the Central office,that he had dismissed by Mr. Bayly owing to his superiors report on false grounds,that when he was appointed as Executive Engineer of the Shimoga Dibision,he had asked Mr.Bayly to furnish him with the facts of the case and enquired if the man might be given a trial again,and that Mr,Bayly had no objection to his being reappointed.Mr.Mc Laughlin further remarks "I believe nothing had been proved against him ,and that the Executive Engineer was not quite satisfied that the man was much in fault.Under these circumstances he was appointed by me as a temporary maistry

He is a good maistry and Mr.Nagavkar regrets much having to carry

out the orders now passed." Vide Note dated 2-12-83.

Major Kensington endorsed that his previous orders should hold good but Executive Engineer could still retained Venkat Rao services as a road Maistry, if he found them so very valuable Vide order dated 13-12-83.

Mr.Mc Laughlin again put up another memo dated 30-12-83 on the above explaining the following points.(1) The man was reappointed on Mr.Bayly's recommendation (2) Nothing had been proved against the maistry beyond his having been constantly reported against by overseer Domingo.(3) No cercular was issued prohibiting the man's reappointment.(4) The maistry had worked well during 3½ years since his reappointment and given satisfaction to 3 Executive Engineers, the last of whom wished to retain the services of the man.

" The above facts are the most satisfactory refutation possible of the charges of overseer Domingo and those of a Revenue department Subordirate and these charges are all that I can find against the man in the records, worthy of consideration. Under these circumstances, I am sorry that Venkat Rao should be dismissed on these charges, which have not been proved, and for faults which, if ever committed, have been condoned and fully atoned for." Major Kensington simply recorded this note.

The file and the service register are both incomplete and it cannot be ascertained what the man had been doing till October 1888 when he addressed an application to Colonel C,Bowen asking for appointment. Mr.Nagavkar in reporting on the qualification of this man, says in his No.507 dated 9th October 1888, that the man has been employed as temporary maistry and Gang man in the shimoga Division for the past 6 or 7 years probably from the date of Major Kensington's order dated 13-12-83.

Venkat Rao was accordingly appointed temporary Taluk maistry on Rs.20/ per mensem and posted to Kumsi sub Taluk Vide this office No.5118-9 dated 23-10-88 and was brought on the permenent establishment in August 1889. Vide this office No.3133 dated 9th August 1889.

The service Register maintained from 10-11-83 is put up.

Service of the man.

Marahmat Mutsaddi ----------January 1861.

Taluk Maistry----------September 1872.

Dismissed in May ----------1880

Road Mistry.

Shimoga -Agumbi Road -August 1880

Permanent Taluk Maistry- --March 1881

Reverted to temporary establishment July 1883

Road maistry.and Gang man till,October 1888

Temporary Taluk Maistry-------23-10-88

Permanent----------9-8-89.

From January 67 to May 1880-permanent service ---------13 years.

May 80 to August 80 Breach 3 months

August 1880 to March 1861 7 months

Work establishment

March 81 to July 83 2 years 4 months

Permanent.

July 83 to October 88 5 years 3 months

3rd October 88 to 9th August 89 temporary ----- 9 months

Permanent from 9th August 89 to 28th February 1901.

permanent 11 years 6 months

True Copy

W. Tuck Captain R.E.

Deputy Chief Engineer of Mysore

AH

S&A/1043 of 1903-04
Serial 2

14

Office Draft. (Docket.)

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

To The chief Engineer of Mysore

Date of passing the draft
Date of despatch 18-9-03

Regd. No. P & A 485
File No. & date (if any)

No. of Encls. His letter No. 4634 d: 24th August 1903 ~~the~~ the condonation of the recommending break of three months ~~referred to~~ in the service of Taluk Maistry B. Venkat Rao ~~be condoned~~

With refce to the above, has the honour to request that the chief Engineer will be so good as to forward to this office for reference the ~~file of~~ entire file of corr^es referred to in the office Note forwarded with the above and to state whether the order

Passed in May 1880
dismissing the Matter
in question and subsequently
renewed when he was again brought on
the permanent Estate "B 6"
in August 1887.

JB 14/9/03.
Br Seth

JB
7/9/03

190 PTA-479

FROM

THE CHIEF ENGINEER OF MYSORE,
BANGALORE

To

The Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated Bangalore 10th October 1903

Despatched

Received

General No. 5452
No.

Enclosure No. 1 complete file with duplicates

Forwarding for reference the complete file of correspondence re-garding Taluk Maistry B.Venkat Row.

P. N
13.10.03

S&A 1043 of 1903-04
Serial 3

Mysore Public Works Department

Central Office,

No. 5459. Dated Bangalore 10th October 1903

From,

W.Mc Hutchin Esquire,M.I.C.E.

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To,

H.V.Nanjundaiyya Esquire,M.A,M.L.

Secretary to Government of H.H.the Maharajah of Mysore

General and Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket No.P & A 485 dated 18th ult[illegible] I have the honor to forward herewith for reference and obligin[illegible] return the complete file of correspondence regarding Taluk Maistry B.Venkat Row and to inform you that it will be observed from the records that the order passed in May 1880 dismissing the Maistry in question was not subsequently reversed when he was again brought on the permanent establishment in August 188[illegible] Venkat Row was appointed as Temporary Taluk Maistry in October 1888 on the recommendation of the Executive Engineer, Shimoga Division as there was no objection to his being attached to th Temporary establishment but when he was ordered to be brought on the permanent establishment (in August 1889) the previous order about him would appear to have been lost sight of .

I have the honor to be,

sir,

your most obedient servant,

W. Mc Hutchin Captain R.E.

For Chief Engineer of Mysore

A.H

PS&A 1043 of 1903 of
Serial 4
Read:—

Letter No. 4634 d. 24th August 1903 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore submitting petitions dated 27th Feby 1901 and 3rd Feby 1902 received from B. Venkat Rao late Jamadar Maistry P.W. Depth. and recommending that, in consideration of his long service, the break of three months ~~in the service~~ may be condoned and the service rendered by him under "Temporary Works estbt." counted so that he may be entitled to an enhanced pension.

2. Letter No. 5459 dated 10th Octr 1903 from the same officer submitting further information on the subject. (Ex (Note + Memo appx)

Order thereon No. PS&A 23/4 dated 23-11-03

B. Venkat Rao entered Service in Jany 1867 and was dismissed in May 1880. After a break of nearly three months, he was again taken into the Service in August 1880 on the temporary estbt. In

estbt, he continued
till 9th August 1889,
when he was brought
on the permanent estbt.
The service rendered prior
to dismissal and subse-
-quent to it on the
temporary estbt was
excluded and he was
granted a pension of
Rs. 3-5-2 per mensem in G.Prs: No. 9446-/47 & 17/12/04
for the service rendered
on the permanent estbt.
Under the circs now
brought to notice, and
in consideration of the
long service rendered by
B. Venkati Rao, the
Govt of H.H. the Maharaja
are pleased to ~~sanction~~
~~the above said Pro. and~~
~~to exempt~~ exempt the
Taluk Maistry from
the provisions of art:
270 of the M.S. Regns, &
condone the break under art 275
as recommended by the
Chief Engineer and also to
treat, as a special case,
the service rendered by him on
the temporary estbt as
counting towards pension.
2. The file of papers
received with the 2nd
of the letters read above
together with the pension
application

~~J.B. Venkaya Asst Sec~~ to Govt
application to be returned to the Chief
Engineer of Mysore, who is requested to
prepare a fresh ~~application~~ on this behalf
application in accordance with this order and forward ~~the same~~ it to ~~Govt through~~ the Comptroller in Mysore who will submit the ~~same~~ to Govt for orders duly verified and reported on.

Sd/-
13/11/3
Secretary

DB
10/11/03

DB
11/11/03.

To The Chief Engineer in Mysore
The Comptroller in Mysore